Info-ParaNet Newsletters Volume I Number 503

Saturday, November 23rd 1991

Today's Topics:

Re: Weird Night On Halloween
Re: David Jacobs

ParaNet 9600 bps ParaNet 9600 bps Sphinx, scalars Omni Comments

America's New Secret Aircraft
Re: Crop circle researcher
"mars Effect"

From: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Peggy Noonan)

Subject: Weird Night On Halloween Date: 20 Nov 91 20:10:01 GMT

Hi John,

Thanks for your comment on OMNI and OMNI ONLINE. I'll pass them along. That's a good idea you had about a UFO news update, too. I hadn't thought of that but it'd be relatively easy to prepare and all the UFO-interested readers would find it a handy way of keeping track of what's going on and where. Good thinking!

I also like your idea of putting it on FidoNet instead of a pay service. Maybe if we get enough comments like yours they'll listen (hope hope!). Could be they just didn't think of it -- so many people don't even know about the Nets...hasn't been that long ago that you educated me on the subject! (BTW, thanks again -- it's been great to have this access and without your patient explanations, I'd still be lost.)

==Peggy==

Peggy Noonan - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Peggy Noonan)

Subject: Omni Opinions & Comments

Date: 20 Nov 91 20:21:02 GMT

>May I add my two cents? I think OMNI has just the right amount >of coverage of UFO/Paranormal for a magazine of its type, but I >would like to see it analyze the paranormal more critically. >Right now all it seems to do is report claims, and once in a >while throw in an obligatory quote from a skeptic. I think that >when something seems to be real, you should say so, and when it >seems to be fraudulent, you should say so as well.

>As far as an online service, good luck. They tried that on >CompuServe for a while, without much success.

Thanks very, very much for adding your two cents -- the good thinking behind your comments is worth a whole lot more than that! I like the idea about the critical analysis of paranormal claims, maybe if not on Every story, then at least one per issue. The comment on real/fraudulent would indeed be helpful to readers (though I can see where some sources might dry up if they feared that kind of judgment) ... maybe they could do it like a movie rating column -- so many stars (or moons or UFOs or whatever) for the most plausible and fewer as credibility drops. It's an idea that bears consideration. I'll pass it along.

You mentioned they'd tried the ONLINE thing on Compuserve and that rang a bell. I recall now that they did have some ads in the magazine about that but it was before I was on CIS myself, so I didn't see it. If you did see it, I'd be very interested to hear from you about how it worked (obviously not too successfully but talking of the mechanics here) -- was it a question/answer thing or talk to the writers or just reprinting the magazine online? What do you think made it fail? And, in case you saw John Hicks' reply which suggested doing this online thing on FidoNet so it's a nonpay service, do you think that would get around the problems it had on CIS? Would you read or use the online thing if it were on FidoNet? Or do you think you'd get anything out of it?

Hmmmm....I wonder if they could add a feature in which people could report events as they do here, but then the magazine would assign people to check out the stories (assuming there's enough info to go on to start investigating, that is) and then present follow-up reports later telling you what happened -- did it check out or not and what was the bottom line. (Or maybe they already did that when they were on CIS?)

This idea seems like it could have great potential, but, of course, there could be a giant minefield of problems that elude my observation because I just don't know enough to see what they'd be.

Looking forward to your thoughts...

==Peggy==

- -

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

.....

From: Linda.Bird@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Linda Bird)

Subject: Author

Date: 21 Nov 91 03:36:00 GMT

Hi everyone,

What can you tell me about an author named Keith Thompson? I saw a book of his called Angels and Aliens." I can't recall who he is and he is not an author that all of us have mentioned before (to my knowledge). Is he reliable? reputable? a crackpot?

I'd like to know before I lay my money down.

Thanks everyone!

Linda

Linda Bird - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User Name

INTERNET: Linda.Bird@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Linda.Bird@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Linda Bird)

Subject: Photos

Date: 21 Nov 91 03:38:00 GMT

Hi Chris,

Got your great message, and congrats on passing the orals! I'll be finished with classes tomorrow night until Dec. 3. I have some photos to send you, so be looking for a "snail" in about a week.

Best always,

Linda

_ _

Linda Bird - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Linda.Bird@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

.....

From: Clark.Matthews@f816.n107.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)

Subject: The End of Freedom Date: 19 Nov 91 15:34:00 GMT

In a message to Clark Matthews <10 Nov 91 19:51> Kay Mclaughlin wrote:

KM> REALLY??

KM> Has this been occuring? Care to elaborate?

Hi, Kay! Well, I'm not the ParaNet security director, but without elaborating, yes, it has been happening. It doesn't seem to bother Steve Rose though, so OBVIOUSLY there's nothing to worry about.

KM> I live in the DC area, and I haven'r heard about the Maryland BIg Foot KM> flap, Clark, Steve have any files on this?

Not yet -- and I don't necessarily endorse the claims. But Bigfeet (Bigfoots?) have supposedly been sighted in Maryland, Delaware and (I think) Pennsylvania over the last year or so. I hear about people mounting Bigfoot Expeditions, etc., in Maryland.

KM> I think I missed somthing, what is the INSLAW?

Well, INSLAW is off-topic for the UFO echo, but I've posted a series of 9 articles to the ParaNet General Topics echo on the INSLAW scandal. It's not a UFO case -- although a couple of NSA/NSC/CIA people implicated in the INSLAW case have said some "funny" things about UFOs, oddly enough [Example: CIA retiree on dead reporter -- 'Even if he got names, dates, and places, all he's got is a UFO book.']

But INSLAW gives a frightening insight into secret goverment operations. It's very revealing and I think it may prove helpful in understanding the mechanics (and maybe some of the personalities and motives) behind the UFO coverup. There's a file on my BBS called INSLAW1.ZIP which contains all the stuff I posted to ParaNet General Topics. I'll be adding more material to my BBS shortly. Check the Conspiracy section.

Briefly, INSLAW, Inc., a small, D.C.-based software development firm, approached the Justice Department with its PROMIS case-tracking software package in the early 1980s. "Justice" was impressed -- especially Edwin Meese, the US Attorney General. A demonstration system was installed at the Justice Department -- and Meese gave several business associates copies of the complete INSLAW package.

Meese then refused to license the software from INSLAW, halted the \$3 million payment for the demo system, sabotaged other marketing opportunities for the company, and the "Justice" Dept. forced INSLAW into involuntary bankruptcy.

Meanwhile, a Meese associate with contacts in the CIA, NSA, and foreign

secret-police agencies was decompiling the INSLAW software and PIRATING it. The stolen PROMIS software was sold to foreign governments and US Secret Security Agencies, including the FBI, CIA, NSA, and operatives of the National Security Council (NSC). The stolen software was disassembled and modified at the Wackenhut Corporation of Coral Gables, Florida, to support the computerized tracking of private individuals, police forces, and troop movements. A "back door" was also installed in the program to allow US agencies to dial into INSLAW sytems licensed to foreign entities and dump the secret data in the foreign systems into US computers. Subsequently the pirated software sold by Meese associates to: Mossad (Israel), KCIA (S. Korea), Hazamat (Iraqi secret police), Libyan secret police, the RCMP and something called the CIS (Canadian Internal Security -- my family's Canadian & no-one we know has ever *heard* of CIS) and many others.

Then a problem developed for Meese and the CIA/NSA/NSC pirates. One of the principals of INSLAW discovered, by accident, of the sale of the stolen software to the RCMP and, later, the mysterious Canadian CIS. Immediately thereafter, a U.S. bankruptcy court judge upheld INSLAW's claim of software piracy and refused to bankrupt and dismantle the INSLAW corporaton as Meese wanted. This judge was not reappointed Ronald Reagan. The case was retried and the judge's replacement bankrupted INSLAW involuntarily. BTW, key elements of the scandal originally surfaced as part of an investigation of corruption in the federal bankruptcy system in California.

A number of people familiar with the role of Wackenhut Corporation, Meese associates, and CIA/NSA/NSC in the INSLAW matter were murdered execution-style in California. The software developer who served as project leader for Wackenhut's piracy and modification of PROMIS revealed his role to several congressional investigators -- he was threatened by senior Justice Dept officials and arrested on drug trafficking charges 8 days after talking with investigators.

Then another problem surfaced for our trusted Civil Servants and their business associates: A reporter found all this out and told friends he could place it in the context of a CIA/NSA/NSC/Mafia "underground governent" that operates parallel to the US gov't and involves Meese associates, organized crime, and many CIA/NSA/NSC alumni. The reporter died mysteriously in a West Virginia motel. Much of his documentary material and notes vanished from his room, along with a briefcase and some other items. Earlier, he had warned his family not to believe he'd commit suicide. The local coroner embalmed the body after receiving "instructions from the family" -- but the family gave no such instructions. Cause of death was determined to be slashed wrists, but circumstances point to something that might have been detectable in blood. Ricin? CIA shellfish toxin? Blowfish toxin? Difficult to determine now.

Among other things, the reporter had told friends that the California murders were committed by a number of former Special Forces personnel now employed in the Chicago Fire Department. He said they continue to serve as contract hitmen for the "parallel government" of businessmen, organized crime, and associates of NSA, CIA, National Security Council, the Dept. of Justice, Wackenhut, et al.

Sorry for the long post. If you want to talk about INSLAW, please send the messages in ParaNet General Topics -- gotta uphold the Sysop ideal of Topic Cop, here, right? :-)

Best, Clark

- -

Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User Name

INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@f816.n107.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: doc.imperial.ac.uk!aixssc.ibm.co.uk!rob

Subject: Gulf Breeze

Date: 22 Nov 91 04:36:13 GMT

From: Robert Trevelyan <rob@aixssc.ibm.co.uk>

Thanks to Don Allen who sent some really good posts on the Gulf Breeze sightings to the Alt.alien.vistors echo. There is a lot of detail in this which makes interesting reading. Does anyone have any of the Ed Walters photos on a gif file that I could view to complete the picture. Thanks, Robert

- -

Robert Trevelyan UKnet: rob@aixssc.ibm.co.uk
AIX Communications VNET: TREVELR at NHBVM7

Voice: +44-(0)256-56144

From: cbmvax.cbm.commodore.com!vanth!jms

Subject: SETI BBS

Date: 22 Nov 91 06:33:29 GMT

From: vanth!jms@cbmvax.cbm.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)

+From: 'MX%\'carr@kirk\''@ASTRO.dnet.ge.com

+There's a new 9600 Baud BBS at (614) 258 1710, which intends to focus +primarily on SETI. Though not set up yet, they plan to have a UFO +conference as well. They are looking for amateur astronomers who want to +participate in optical SETI.

Does anyone know exactly what 'optical SETI' involves? It would seem to me that optical means would be the least likely means of contact. Or does it just mean the search for extrasolar planets?

From: Jim.Speiser@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)

Subject: Author

Date: 21 Nov 91 18:11:00 GMT

> Hi everyone,

- > What can you tell me about an author named Keith Thompson? I saw a book
- > of his called Angels and Aliens." I can't recall who he is and he is
- > not an author that all of us have mentioned before (to my knowledge).
- > Is he reliable? reputable? a crackpot?

>

> I'd like to know before I lay my money down.

Linda:

Keith is a very nice guy, who just happens to have a more spiritual angle on things. I wouldn't call him a crackpot, but he is from San Francisco, and is very much a "Californian".

I believe Don Ecker will have more to say on Keith and his book shortly.

Jim

- -

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User Name

INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@f100.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (John Burke)

Subject: Author

Date: 22 Nov 91 07:40:00 GMT

Linda Bird writes:

- > What can you tell me about an author named Keith Thompson?
- > I saw a book of his called Angels and Aliens." I can't

- > recall who he is and he is not an author that all of us
- > have mentioned before (to my knowledge). Is he reliable?
- > reputable? a crackpot?

I don't know anything about this guy (other than having seen this book at the bookstore) BUT ...

He's supposed to be on Larry King's show tonight (Friday) with our friend Don Ecker.

So turn off your computer and turn on your TV! Quick!

-- John

--

John Burke - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: John.Burke@f9.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@f816.n107.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)

Subject: David Jacobs

Date: 21 Nov 91 16:05:00 GMT

In a message to Sheldon Wernikoff <15 Nov 91 17:59> Jim Speiser wrote:

- > SW> Come on people, let's get Dave back on line. It shouldn't be
- > SW> THAT difficult. Perhaps he can be setup as a point?

JS> I agree, but is anyone willing to poll him Long Distance?

Hi Jim. Paul Faeder's system is in the Poconos and I'm in North Jersey -polling around Philly should not be a big deal for either of us if we can do
it during mail hour.

What's the problem with Jacobs? Traffic on the ABDUCTION echo is so sparse he could transfer all of his weekly packets in only 3-4 minutes of time at 2400 bps per week. Considering he's getting lots of fodder for his book -- and guaranteeing book sales among the membership here -- is that meagre polling schedule such an unsupportable expense for him?

Anyway, let me know in general terms where he's located. Perhaps Paul or I could help.

Best, Clark

- -

Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@f816.n107.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Steve.Rose@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Steve Rose)

Subject: Re: Weird Night On Halloween

Date: 19 Nov 91 22:28:00 GMT

PN> -- OMNI magazine. What do you like best/least about it? What would PN> you change if you could influence the editors? Would you increase the PN> coverage of UFO-Paranormal events/reports r reduce it? Should those PN> stories have more of an investigative (as opposed to straight

I had always thought from reading OMNI in past years...those red-paged paranormal news clips were presented in a de-bunking light. It was as if they presented each story...but with the idea of having someone of authority doubt and/or dispute each claim being presented. Have they changed this tone of para-article delivery as of late? It has been a while since I picked up an issue.

- -

Steve Rose - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Steve.Rose@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Steve.Rose@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Steve Rose)

Subject: Re: David Jacobs Date: 21 Nov 91 19:08:00 GMT

> THAT difficult. Perhaps he can be setup as a point?

MC> Thank you for posting that update, Sheldon. As a matter of fact, we MC> are testing a new link in the Philadelphia area even as we speak. It

MC> should be functional in very short order.

Philadelphia! That close, huh. Hmmmm....would that node happen to be a V.32 equipped site and would he be polling ParaNet every night? :-)

```
- -
```

Steve Rose - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Steve.Rose@p0.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Andre.Eichner@f10.n245.z2.FIDONET.ORG (Andre Eichner)

Subject: ParaNet 9600 bps Date: 11 Nov 91 21:23:00 GMT

Hello Sheldon!

In a msg of <22 Oct 91>, Sheldon Wernikoff writes to All:

SW> Are there any ParaNet nodes running SW> @ 9600 bps v.32, v.42, v.42bis?

Yes, here in Berlin(Germany), HST-Dual Standard...

regards from the Ex-Wall City cheers

Andre

- 3 FidoNet-Berlin, the UFO information service in Berlin 3
- 3 FidoNet 2:245/10, GerNet 222:100/29, ParaNet 91:1021/2 3
- 3 +49-30-7919269 HST/V32/V42B 14.400 bps 3
- 3 Sysop: Andre Eichner

- -

Andre Eichner - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User Name

INTERNET: Andre.Eichner@f10.n245.z2.FIDONET.ORG

From: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG (John Hicks)

Subject: ParaNet 9600 bps Date: 22 Nov 91 07:46:00 GMT

> Yes, here in Berlin(Germany), HST-Dual Standard...

Would you be interested in also picking up Fidonet's Zone 1 UFO echo? Feeds in various high-speed types are available.

Sheldon mentioned it to me a couple of day ago (I'm moderator of that echo). Roughly 50 or so messages daily.

jbh

- -

John Hicks - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: John.Hicks@f29.n363.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: violet.berkeley.edu!chalmers

Subject: Sphinx, scalars
Date: 22 Nov 91 14:31:32 GMT

From: chalmers@violet.berkeley.edu (John H. Chalmers Jr.)

It may be of interest that there will be a debate on the age of the Sphinx as part of the 'Science for Everyone' symposium at the Feb 1992 meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science in Chicago. One of the speakers will be Robert Schoch.

I would expect Science to have something to say on the age of the Sphinx in an upcoming issue.

It's probably not too surprising that the Sphinx may be older than the historical dynastic Egyptian civilization, as predynastic Egyptian cultures are known

predynastic Egyptian cultures are known Query: I've seen several references to scalar particles

Query: I've seen several references to scalar particles or fields in various posts recently. What are these, the hypothetical spin-0, mass-generating Higgs particles/fields of GUTS, the proposed, but not well-documented, gravity-like forces (5th and/or 6th forces) which may or may not couple to baryon number, or what? --- John

From: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Peggy Noonan)

Subject: Omni Comments

Date: 22 Nov 91 16:45:07 GMT

>I had always thought from reading OMNI in past years...those red-paged >paranormal news clips were presented in a de-bunking light. It was as >if they presented each story...but with the idea of having someone of >authority doubt and/or dispute each claim being presented. Have they >changed this tone of para-article delivery as of late? It has

Thanks for your reply. Well, you raise a good point because

I thought that the "offset" quote from a debunking skeptic did sort of achieve what you've described but obviously a lot of other people -- correction, some other people -- think that there's no debunking at all but that unsupported stories are presented as fact without any criticism. The last I knew from the editor who is in charge of that section (by the way, it's black pages now instead of red) still asks for "offset quotes" from reputable people who disagree with the claims of the interviewee or story. It's not a full debunking because it doesn't take apart the whole story, but it does offset the claim. The reader then can take either side he feels is more persuasive.

In fact, the question you raised is something people here have suggested be added to OMNI -- a feature in which at least one story would be taken apart by a skeptic, point by point.

Of course you know what the magazine's answer to your question would be: buy OMNI and see! <g>

Thanks for adding your comments. Please feel free to ask again if I've missed something or if you think of something else. And, by the way, would you use the OMNI ONLINE service if they do start one? Would cost be a factor? If they put it in an existing service such as Compuserve or Genie, would that affect your willingness or interest in using it?

And if you would use an ONLINE service from OMNI, what would you want to get out of it? What would you be looking for?

==Peggy==

- -

Peggy Noonan - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User Name

INTERNET: Peggy.Noonan@p0.f605.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: hpvclmd.vcd.hp.com!miked

Subject: America's New Secret Aircraft

Date: 22 Nov 91 22:45:29 GMT

From: Mike Dobbs <miked@hpvclmd.vcd.hp.com>

The cover article of December's Popular Mechanics is about new secret American aircraft. This article builds upon Aviation Week's article of about a year ago.

'According to reports over the past two years, a vast black flying wing, estimated at between 600 and 800 ft. in width, has passed silently over the city streets, empty desert and rural freeways. The craft moved so slowly one observer said that he could jog along with it. A pattern of seemingly random white lights on the vehicle's black underside provided 'constellation camouflage' against the starry sky. Observers detailed unlikely maneuvers in which the vehicle stopped, rotated in place and hovered vertically....'

It is an interesting article well worth reading.

Also don't forget the National Geographic Special on crop circles this Sunday (Nov 24) at 9pm EST/ 6pm PST on TBS. It is called 'The Mystery of the Crop Circle'. My TV listing show it repeating on Monday night (Nov 25) at 10pm PST.

Mike Dobbs / Internet: miked@vcd.hp.com

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)

Subject: Re: Crop circle researcher

Date: 19 Nov 91 07:58:20 GMT

- > I do not believe that these two Men craeted the circles in the manner
- > that they displayed on Television here in Australia. First of all
- > there is the number of them, their quality of manufacture and there
- > various locations. I feel that a great proportion of these "Crop
- > Circles" are indeed a hoax, but this cannot explain all of them.

David --

Glad we're in agreement on much of this. However, I hope you didn't miss my fundamental point: that Andrews ADMITTED that the two fellows' technique could account for many such circles, that he and other cereologists had dismissed A PRIORI the possibility of hoaxing with no meaningful effort to creatively experiment to determine how they MIGHT be hoaxed, and that they STILL don't seem to making any such effort.

The point of Bower and Chorley is NOT whether they accounted for ALL crop circles. The point is that their claimed method is one that COULD and probably DID fool cereologists. If two bored old geezers could so easily hoodwink Andrews, how on Earth can one be confident that cereologists aren't being also fooled by other hoaxers? When their investigatory methods are so obviously vulnerable to hoaxing, how can one put much confidence in ANY of their conclusions? Should we really fall back on "There are unexplained cases, therefore there must be something truly mysterious going on"? Any field like this will always have unexplained cases, if only for lack of data, after all.

It's just that I keep getting the impression that the circle-chasers are refusing to learn the obvious lesson from this.

Best Regards, Rick M.

_ _

Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Rick Moen)

Subject: "mars Effect"

Date: 19 Nov 91 08:19:53 GMT

Not sure this is literally speaking in the correct conference, so I'll make this short: Quite a few years back, a former CSICOP director named Dennis Rawlins published a strongly-worded article in "Fate" magazine, taking to task CSICOP for supposedly bungling and then covering up an investigation of Michel Gauquelin's "Mars Effect" (quasi-astrological) claim. The article was extremely emotional, and extremely poorly supported, but has been widely quoted ever since. Rawlins's article was entitled "sTARBABY".

Avionics writer Philip J. Klass then wrote and submitted to "Fate" a carefully-researched and devastating rebuttal to Rawlins, an article that he titled "Crybaby". "Fate" categorically refused to publish it, or to in any way air the other side of the story. Accordingly, while many have read the original article (or any of several derivative works that took it at face value), very few people have ever read the rebuttal.

Well, Robert Sheaffer and I have now scanned in the article (and OCR'd it), and have it available as a text file. I've posted it to the BITNET SKEPTIC discussion list, and also uploaded it to File Library #10 ("Paranormal Issues") in the Compuserve Issue Forum ("GO ISSUES") as "CRYBAB.PJK". In addition, it's available as "CRYBABY.ZIP" for download or FReq from this node, Paranet 9:1012/2, FidoNet 1:125/27, 1-415-648-8944 in San Francisco, 2400/HST/V.32/V.32bis. You'll find it interesting.

If people are interested, I'll upload it to this echo (or P_GENERAL, as the moderator prefers). It would constitute about 11 messages.

Best Regards,
Rick Moen
Vice-Chair, Bay Area Skeptics
Member, Electronic Communication Subcommittee, CSICOP
(but not purporting to speak for anyone but himself)

Rick Moen - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Rick.Moen@f27.n125.z1.FIDONET.ORG

*******To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to*******

'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,csn}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com

For administrative requests (subscriptions, back issues) send to:

DOMAIN ftp.uiowa.edu (directory /archives/paranet)

Mail to private Paranet/Fidonet addresses from the newsletters: DOMAIN firstname.lastname@paranet.org
UUCP scicom!paranet.org!firstname.lastname